DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

In the Matter of:
Public Workshop on Perfluoroalkyl)
And Polyfluoroalkyl Substances)
(PFASs) in Carpets and Rugs)

CAL/EPA HEADQUARTERS BUILDING

KLAMATH ROOM, SECOND FLOOR

1001 I STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2018 1:00 P.M.

Reported by:

Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

MODERATOR

Asha Setty, Public Participation Specialist

SAFER CONSUMER PRODUCTS BRANCH (SCP) PANEL

Meredith Williams, Deputy Director

Karl Palmer, Branch Chief

Andre Algazi, Team Lead

Simona Balan, Senior Environmental Scientist

PRESENTERS

Jessica Bowman, FluoroCouncil

Warren Lehrenbauer, FluoroCouncil

Steve Korzeniowski, FluoroCouncil

Joe Yarbrough, The Carpet and Rug Institute (CRI)

Miriam Rotkin-Ellman, National Resources Defense Council

Alvaro Palacios Casanova, Center for Environmental Health

Liza Grandia, UC Davis/Woodland Coalition for Green Schools

Tom Bruton, Green Science Policy Institute

AGENDA

	Page
Welcome	5
Meredith Williams	
Summary of DTSC's Findings	8
Simona Balan	
Public Comment on DTSC's PFASs in Carpets and Rugs	21
General Public Comment	26
Concluding Remarks	63
Adjournment	65

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 1:02 P.M.
- 3 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2018
- 4 MS. SETTY: Hello everyone. Can you hear
- 5 me okay? Yes? Good. We'll go ahead and get
- 6 started. My name is Asha Setty and I'm a Public
- 7 Participation Specialist with the California
- 8 Department of Toxic Substances Control. I'd like
- 9 to welcome you to this meeting on our proposal to
- 10 list perfluoralkyl and polyfluoroalkyl
- 11 substances, or PFASs, in carpets and rugs as a
- 12 Priority Product.
- 13 We're seeking public comment on the
- 14 Product-Chemical Profile on PFASs for carpets and
- 15 rugs. In particular, we're requesting your input
- 16 on a specific list of topics and questions that
- 17 we'll get to after our presentation. You also
- 18 have the opportunity to submit general comments
- 19 on the proposal using comment cards, which are
- 20 available at the front. We have a court reporter
- 21 here recording this meeting, as well.
- 22 For those of you here in person, I'd like
- 23 to point out the two emergency exits located on
- 24 this side of the room. Restrooms are located

- 1 just outside the hallway. And in the event of an
- 2 evacuation, please exit the building by using the
- 3 stairwells, just located outside of the hallway,
- 4 as well.
- 5 For our webcast viewers, please email
- 6 this email address to submit your comments.
- 7 For those of you here today in person,
- 8 please make sure you checked in at the
- 9 registration table and picked up an agenda and
- 10 comment card, as well as the list of questions.
- Now I'd like to introduce you to the
- 12 panel representing our Safer Consumer Products
- 13 Program.
- 14 Here, first we have Dr. Simona Balan, who
- 15 is our Senior Environmental Scientist, and will
- 16 be this afternoons presenter. And next we have
- 17 Dr. Meredith Williams, who is our Deputy
- 18 Director. And next to her, we have Karl Palmer,
- 19 who is the Branch Chief. And then we have Andre
- 20 Algazi, who is the Chemical Product Evaluation
- 21 Team Lead.
- 22 I'll go ahead and turn it over to you,
- 23 Dr. Williams, for opening remarks.
- 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: Thank you,
- 25 Asha.

- 1 Thank you all for being here in person,
- 2 and thanks to those who are participating online.
- 3 We welcome you to this discussion and for the
- 4 beginning of and to continuation of an ongoing
- 5 conversation. We want to continue the
- 6 conversation we began last year on -- with this
- 7 workshop on the per- and polyfluoroalkyl
- 8 substances in carpets and rugs.
- 9 And I'm sure you're all well aware that
- 10 we released a document last month that is a
- 11 technical document that explains our basis for
- 12 concern about these products. And that document
- 13 was developed using, of course, extensive staff
- 14 research, but also a great deal of engagement,
- 15 both from participants who are here today and
- 16 from partners at the local, state, federal, and
- 17 even international level, who have been giving
- 18 this set of chemicals and these products a great
- 19 deal of consideration.
- 20 And yet, despite that, the great amount
- 21 of research that went into this document, it's
- 22 still just the relatively early part of our
- 23 process. We're counting on additional input
- 24 through the comments. And we use that input to
- 25 inform further deliberations about this

- 1 combination of product and chemical.
- 2 And as you're hopefully aware, also, we
- 3 extended the comment period on this document
- 4 until April 16th, and that's to allow adequate
- 5 time for thoughtful input and consideration of
- 6 this highly complex and very technical document
- 7 and topic.
- 8 So we take comments quite seriously. We
- 9 will take all the comments we receive here today
- $10\,$ and through the formal comment period on CalSAFER
- 11 under consideration as we decide whether to move
- 12 forward with any rulemaking, and in terms of
- 13 developing the support for a potential Priority
- 14 Product listing.
- 15 So if we do go ahead with the Priority
- 16 Product listing, we will initiate rulemaking.
- 17 And that will provide, again, another comment, a
- 18 more formal comment period and hearing. So this
- 19 is -- the comment on this document as it is today
- 20 would not be the last opportunity to engage with
- 21 the Department.
- 22 And as always, with the Safer Consumer
- 23 Products Program and Regulations, even rulemaking
- 24 does not provide any certainty about what the
- 25 ultimate outcome is of a Priority Product

- 1 listing. That really depends on the alternatives
- 2 analysis that's undertaken by the manufacturers,
- 3 by the findings of those alternative analyses and
- 4 the recommendations of the manufacturing sector,
- 5 and that becomes the basis to dictate a path
- 6 forward on this product-chemical combination.
- 7 So with that, I think I'll have an
- 8 opportunity to make a few comments at the end.
- 9 We're looking forward to the conversation today.
- 10 And I will turn it over to Dr. Simona Balan.
- 11 DR. BALAN: Thank you. Good afternoon
- 12 and thank you, everyone, for joining us today and
- 13 for engaging with us in this process and on our
- 14 proposal to list PFASs in carpets and rugs as a
- 15 Priority Product. Whoops.
- 16 According to the Safer Consumer Products
- 17 Regulations, a Priority Product is a product-
- 18 chemical combination that meets two key criteria:
- 19 there must be potential for exposure to the
- 20 Candidate Chemical in the product, and there must
- 21 be potential for one or more of these exposures
- 22 to cause or contribute to significant or
- 23 widespread adverse impacts. And we discussed how
- 24 these factors are met in great detail in our
- 25 Profile, which is available on CalSAFER for

- 1 comment until April 16th. But today, I'll just
- 2 give you a brief overview of the definitions and
- 3 scope of this proposal. And I'll summarize the
- 4 evidence for the potential for exposure and the
- 5 potential adverse impacts, and I'll end with a
- 6 couple additional considerations.
- 7 Okay, so the scope of the product,
- 8 carpets and rugs, is any product made from
- 9 natural or synthetic fabric that is intended to
- 10 be used as a floor covering inside commercial or
- 11 residential buildings. So that includes carpeted
- 12 door mats because they can be used indoors or
- 13 outdoors, but it excludes carpets and rugs in
- 14 other interior environments, such as cars, trains
- 15 or planes. And here are the relevant product
- 16 classification codes that we've identified.
- 17 In terms of the class of chemical, the
- 18 Candidate Chemicals, that's the entire class of
- 19 perfluoralkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances, or
- 20 PFASs, and they're a class of over 3,000
- 21 chemicals, highly diverse in terms of structure;
- 22 there are polymers, nonpolymers. But what they
- 23 have in common is that they all contain as least
- 24 one fully fluorinated carbon atom, so one carbon
- 25 that has no more carbon-hydrogen bonds because

- 1 all the hydrogens were replaced with fluorine.
- 2 And all our Candidate Chemicals for our program,
- 3 they've been listed in 2015 by Biomonitoring
- 4 California as priority chemicals. They're used
- 5 in a wide variety of consumer products. In
- 6 carpets and rugs, they serve as stain resistance
- 7 and soil resistance, including resistance to oil-
- 8 and water-based stains.
- 9 So this entire universe of PFASs that is
- 10 highly diverse can be subdivided into four main
- 11 categories, according to a recent paper by Wang,
- 12 et. al., 2017. We have the perfluoralkyl acids,
- 13 or PFAAs. These are the most widely studies, the
- 14 best known out of this chemical class. Then
- 15 there are PFAA precursors (chemicals that degrade
- 16 into PFAAs), fluoropolymers and
- 17 perfluoropolyethers. And I'm going to tell you a
- 18 little bit about all of these four categories and
- 19 how they relate to carpets and rugs, but all of
- 20 them, basically, one way or another connect back
- 21 to PFAAs, because they either degrade to PFAAs or
- 22 they're manufactured using PFAAs.
- 23 So what are PFAAs? They are
- 24 perfluorinated chemicals. They are nonpolymeric.
- 25 The key concern with these chemicals is their

- 1 extreme persistence. There's no known natural
- 2 degradation pathway for these chemicals in the
- 3 environment. So once they're out there in the
- 4 environment, they can last indefinitely, maybe
- 5 even longer than human civilization.
- They're also bioaccumulative, the ones
- 7 that are longer chains, so sulfonates with six or
- 8 more fluorinated carbons than all other PFAAs
- 9 with seven or more fluorinated carbons are called
- 10 long chains because of their bioaccumulation
- 11 potential. The shorter chain ones tends to be
- 12 very mobile in the environment, and that's
- 13 another key concern. And toxicity has also been
- 14 documented in both human and animal studies.
- Now, PFAAs are not used in carpets and
- 16 rugs. They're not intentionally added to carpets
- 17 and rugs. However, they can be found in carpets
- 18 and rugs as a manufacturing impurity or as a
- 19 degradation product of the PFASs that are added
- 20 to carpets and rugs.
- 21 Which brings me to PFAA precursors.
- 22 These are probably the biggest subcategory of
- 23 PFASs. They're both polymers and nonpolymers.
- 24 They're mostly polyfluorinated, meaning that
- 25 there are still carbon-hydrogen bonds in the

- 1 molecule. And their key concern is that they
- 2 degrade to PFAAs. Some of them can also be
- 3 persistent. They can still be in the environment
- 4 for a while before they degrade to PFAAs. Some
- 5 are also very mobile. For instance,
- 6 fluorotelomer alcohols are volatile and they can
- 7 be transported in air throughout the globe. And
- $8\,$ some, such as fluorotelomer carboxylates and
- 9 aldehydes were found to have greater acute
- 10 toxicity than the PFAAs.
- 11 Now the side-chain fluorinated polymers,
- 12 as the name describes, they're a long hydrocarbon
- 13 chain with side chains that are fluorinated that
- 14 can cleave off, so eventually they do degrade
- 15 into PFAAs. And they are the most commonly used
- 16 carpet and rug treatment currently in North
- 17 America, so they're highly relevant to this
- 18 product category. Nonpolymeric PFAA precursors
- 19 have been used in carpets and rugs in the past,
- 20 and they may still be used in imported products.
- 21 And they may also be found in carpets and rugs as
- 22 impurities or as incomplete degradation products
- 23 of the side-chain fluorinated polymers.
- 24 The last of the categories,
- 25 perfluoropolyethers and fluoropolymers are true

- 1 polymers. They do not degrade, but they're also
- 2 probably too big to get into the cell membranes
- 3 and cause toxicity. So the key concern here is
- 4 that, traditionally, they've been manufactured
- 5 using PFAAs. In fact, fluoropolymers have been
- 6 the biggest source of perfluorooctanoic acid or
- 7 PFOA to the environment. Even though it's not
- 8 manufactured generally with PFOA anymore, they
- 9 still use other PFAAs, such as fluorinated ethers
- 10 or GenX. And they're not as widely used in
- 11 carpets and rugs. We found a couple instance of
- 12 perfluoropolyethers being used as carpet
- 13 treatments. However, a patent by Invista
- 14 (phonetic) from 2017 says that PFASs is suitable
- 15 for carpet and rug treatment includes
- 16 fluoropolymers and perfluoropolyethers.
- 17 Now, moving on to the potential for
- 18 exposure, our regulations consider several
- 19 different lines of evidence to determine this
- 20 potential. So one of these lines of evidence is
- 21 the market presence of the product. Carpets and
- 22 rugs make up more than half of the market for
- 23 flooring, according to 2016 data, both by revenue
- 24 and by volume. And according to the Carpet and
- 25 Rug Institute, California is about a third of the

- 1 U.S. carpet market. And also, most residential
- 2 and commercial carpets are treated with PFASs.
- 3 So it seems likely that the majority of
- 4 Californians are going to be exposed to carpets
- 5 containing PFASs during -- on a pretty regular
- 6 basis, either in their homes or in their offices
- 7 or in other indoor buildings that they frequent.
- 8 Another line of evidence we look at is
- 9 monitoring data. And PFAAs have been found in a
- 10 wide variety of environmental media, including
- 11 indoor air and dust, outdoor air, fresh water,
- 12 ocean water, soil and sediment. They've been
- 13 found in plants and animals and in, pretty much,
- 14 all humans studied around the world, including in
- 15 indigenous populations in the Arctic, far away
- 16 from any emissions sources. They've also been
- 17 found in human food, including vegetables, fish,
- 18 meat, as well as in drinking water, including in
- 19 California in some places at levels exceeding
- 20 USEPA health advisories.
- 21 And please note that this monitoring data
- 22 that we have on PFASs is limited to some PFAAs
- 23 and some of their precursors. The majority of
- 24 PFASs cannot currently be measured using current
- 25 analytic techniques. So the extent of

- 1 contamination may be even bigger than we know.
- 2 Another line of evidence we look at are
- 3 the properties of the chemicals, such as
- 4 persistence. The more persistent the chemical is
- 5 the longer it's going to be in the environment,
- 6 so the higher the likelihood of exposure and re-
- 7 exposure for humans and biota, so PFASs are very
- 8 persistent.
- 9 Another trait of concern of
- 10 bioaccumulation. The longer-chain PFAAs
- 11 bioaccumulate. And also, lactational and
- 12 transplacental transfer was displayed by pretty
- 13 much all PFASs tested for this property.
- 14 (Coughs.) Excuse me.
- So, for instance, the fetus gets exposed
- 16 to PFASs in-uterus and babies are born with PFASs
- 17 already in their bodies, and they get (clears
- 18 throat) additional loadings through breast
- 19 feeding. Excuse me.
- 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: Take your
- 21 time.
- DR. BALAN: I knew that was going to
- 23 happen. I'm recovering from a sickness. Sorry
- 24 about that.
- 25 So we also look at the exposure

- 1 throughout the lifecycle of the product, not just
- 2 during the use phase. And this is not actually
- 3 shown on this diagram, but during manufacturing
- 4 there can also be releases of PFASs into the
- 5 environment while the carpets are being treated.
- 6 And once the carpet has been put on the
- 7 market and treated with PFASs, the nonpolymeric
- 8 PFASs can come out in dust that then humans and
- 9 animals can ingest or inhale. They can come out
- 10 in air, if they're volatile, that then humans and
- 11 animals can inhale. Then there could also be
- 12 dermal contact; right? If you're get in contact
- 13 with a carpet, that's especially a concern for
- 14 toddlers that spend a lot of time in contact with
- 15 the carpet. Also, if the carpet is cleaned, the
- 16 cleaning extract ends up, eventually, at the
- 17 wastewater treatment plant that is not
- 18 necessarily set up to deal with these chemicals,
- 19 and they end up being released into the
- 20 environment.
- 21 And once they're in the environment,
- 22 these chemicals cycle there forever, since they
- 23 don't get degraded, so there's the possibility
- 24 for multiple avenues of exposure, and eventually
- 25 can make it into human food and drinking water.

- 1 And especially at the end of life, our
- 2 regulations are concerned with what happens in
- 3 terms of adverse impacts at the end of life of a
- 4 product, or adverse impacts to waste management.
- 5 So carpets typically are landfill at the end of
- 6 their life.
- 7 In California in 2016, 75 percent of the
- 8 carpet discarded was landfilled. So from
- 9 landfill, any water that percolates through can
- 10 carry these chemicals out in the leachate. That
- 11 leachate may be directly released into surface
- 12 and groundwater, or it can be brought to a
- 13 wastewater treatment plant that cannot
- 14 necessarily remove it. Removing PFASs is
- 15 possible, but it's cost prohibitive for most
- 16 wastewater treatment plants. And it's not
- 17 possible for all PFASs at the moment, as far as
- 18 we know.
- 19 Now the other carpets and rugs that are
- 20 not landfilled are either incinerated for energy
- 21 recovery or are recycled, and that leads to
- 22 recyclers being exposed to these chemicals, and
- 23 also to the perpetration of PFASs in the recycled
- 24 product.
- 25 We also consider three kinds of hazard

- 1 traits of the Candidate Chemicals: exposure
- 2 potential, environmental and toxicological hazard
- 3 traits. These exposure potential hazard traits
- 4 listed on the left on the slide are displayed by
- 5 different PFASs. Not all of them meet all of
- 6 those, but different PFASs display all of these,
- 7 and I've talked about the first four.
- 8 The last one, global warming potential,
- 9 is displayed by some fluorinated ethers and by an
- 10 incomplete combustion product of PFASs. And some
- 11 PFASs also show toxicity to plants and to other
- 12 terrestrial and aquatic organisms.
- 13 And in terms of toxicological hazard
- 14 traits, there are numerous epidemiological
- 15 studies that have looked at adverse impacts to
- 16 humans, including kidney and testicular cancer,
- 17 increased serum cholesterol, thyroid disease,
- 18 immune dysregulation, including reduced efficacy
- 19 of vaccines and higher incidence of infectious
- 20 diseases for children, and pregnancy-induced
- 21 hypertension. So these effects were mostly found
- 22 for longer-chain PFASs because they were studied
- 23 for this. But the shorter chains are starting to
- 24 show similar impacts, as well, in more recent
- 25 studies. PFASs accumulate in human lungs,

- 1 kidney, liver, brain, bone tissue, basically
- 2 anything that is very protein-rich.
- 3 A whole suite of other hazard traits were
- 4 found in animal studies. And Appendix 3 to the
- 5 Profile lists some of these studies, but please
- 6 note that they're not comprehensive; right?
- 7 There's hundreds of studies out there. We did
- 8 not try to be comprehensive there. We just tried
- 9 to show kind of the breadth of research that
- 10 exists for these chemicals.
- 11 And we also have paid special attention
- 12 effects on sensitive subpopulations, endangered
- 13 species, sensitive habitats in California,
- 14 including the human populations that are
- 15 typically most susceptible to hazardous
- 16 chemicals, such as fetuses, infants, children,
- 17 pregnant women. But exposure to PFASs in carpets
- 18 and rugs is of concern to anyone who is in close
- 19 contact with PFASs in carpets for their work,
- 20 such as carpet installers, carpet cleaners,
- 21 carpet retail sector workers, carpet recyclers,
- 22 as well as office workers or school children who
- 23 are indoors most of the time.
- 24 It can also be a cause of concern for
- 25 people who have certain preexisting conditions,

- 1 like high cholesterol of thyroid disease or other
- 2 diseases that are similar to those associated
- 3 with the use of PFASs.
- 4 So lastly, a couple more thoughts, data
- 5 gaps; right? Despite the thousands of papers out
- 6 there on PFASs, there are quite a few data gaps
- 7 remaining and we discuss some of them in the
- 8 Profile. We hope we can fill some of them with
- 9 your help, either today or through this comment
- 10 period. But please note that despite the data
- 11 gaps, there is still sufficient information for
- 12 DTSC to make this proposal to list PFASs in
- 13 carpets and rugs as a Priority Product.
- 14 And lastly, we also looked at
- 15 alternatives. Alternatives are already available
- 16 for most uses of PFASs in carpets and rugs,
- 17 including inherently stain-resistant fibers that
- 18 may not need any chemical treatment, as well as a
- 19 whole range of chemical alternatives.
- 20 Sulfonation is one that has been used for
- 21 a while. It blocks the acid dye sites on the
- 22 nylon, so that the carpet then is impossible to
- 23 stain using coffee or wine or anything that is
- 24 acidic. It doesn't work for all types of stains,
- 25 but it works for acid stains.

- 1 There's a bunch of other different
- 2 alternatives that have been developed and are
- 3 listed here in the Profile. But please note that
- 4 DTSC has not assessed these alternatives and
- 5 we're not endorsing any particular formulation.
- 6 So please, if you haven't yet, take a
- 7 look at the Profile. It's available on CalSAFER
- 8 for comment until 11:59 p.m. on April 16th. And
- 9 we look forward to hearing your comments. If
- 10 you'd like to stay engaged with us, here's our
- 11 contact information.
- 12 Thank you for your attention. I look
- 13 forward to hearing from you today and throughout
- 14 this comment period. So I'll pass it back onto
- 15 Asha.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MS. SETTY: Thanks for the presentation,
- 18 Dr. Balan.
- 19 Now we'd like to switch it over to public
- 20 comment. First, we'd like to seek your input on
- 21 specific questions that we have posted on our
- 22 CalSAFER website, also available to pick up at
- 23 the table. You can find the link posted on our
- 24 workshop information page, as well. I'll go
- 25 through each of these one by one. Let's get to

- 1 that slide here. If you have a comment that is
- 2 unrelated to these specific questions, then
- 3 please hold them for the next comment session
- 4 that will follow.
- 5 For this process, in-person attendees do
- 6 not need to fill out a comment card. We'll be
- 7 taking your comment cards for the general comment
- 8 period after this session.
- 9 So I'd like to go ahead and get started
- 10 on the topic of product and chemical description.
- 11 Our first question is: Is the product definition
- 12 clear and unambiguous as to which related
- 13 products are included or excluded?
- 14 Is there anyone in this room that would
- 15 like to comment on this question?
- 16 Do we have any webcast attendees with a
- 17 comment?
- 18 Okay, then we'll move on to the second
- 19 question: Are the Global Product classification
- 20 and North American Industry Classification System
- 21 Codes relevant and comprehensive?
- 22 Does anyone have any comments in this
- 23 room?
- 24 And from the webcast?
- Okay, we'll move on to the third question

- 1 then. Is the definition of the class of PFASs
- 2 clear and accurate?
- 3 Anyone in this room with a comment?
- 4 Webcast?
- 5 Okay, before we move on to the next
- 6 category, I'll just take one last moment to ask
- 7 if anyone has any questions on this category?
- 8 Okay, we'll move on to the next topic
- 9 then. Okay, so these questions are about the
- 10 potential exposures and impacts. So our first
- 11 question is if anyone has more specific data on
- 12 the market presence of the product and its supply
- 13 chain?
- 14 Anyone in this room with a comment?
- Webcast?
- Okay, we'll move on to the second
- 17 question. We'd like to know if you have
- 18 information on the release, loss or degradation
- 19 rate of the PFAS-based treatment of carpets and
- 20 rugs?
- No comments?
- Okay, our third question is what is the
- 23 scientific basis for claims that lower toxicity
- 24 is indicated by lower apparently bioaccumulation,
- 25 persistence or long-term body burden?

- 1 No comments?
- I will move on to our next slide here, a
- 3 couple more questions on this topic. Our fourth
- 4 question is: What additional research is
- 5 industry doing to address global concerns on the
- 6 persistence of PFASs in the environment and
- 7 potential human and ecological health impacts?
- 8 Anyone? Alright.
- 9 Okay, our fifth question on this topic
- 10 is: What methods are used for handling and
- 11 disposing of PFAS waste and PFAS-containing
- 12 carpet and rug, pre- and post-consumer waste?
- Okay. We don't have any comments on this
- 14 topic, potential exposures and impacts. We'll
- 15 move on to the next topic.
- Okay, so now we're moving on to the topic
- 17 of alternatives. We'd like to know if you have
- 18 any information on the alternatives listed in the
- 19 Profile, as well as any information you have on
- 20 alternatives that are not listed? Again, we'll
- 21 just go through these one by one.
- 22 Our first question is: Do you have
- 23 further information on the alternatives listed in
- 24 Chapter 7 of the Product-Chemical Profile?
- 25 Alright, no comments? Alright.

- 1 We'll move on to our second question,
- 2 which is: Are there other functionally
- 3 acceptable alternatives to the use of PFASs in
- 4 carpets and rugs. In particular, are they
- 5 commercially available?
- 6 Anyone have comments?
- 7 Second, do they require the use of a
- 8 replacement chemical?
- 9 Any comments?
- 10 Third, are there known hazards associated
- 11 with these alternatives? Okay.
- 12 And are any potential replacement
- 13 chemicals listed as Candidate Chemicals?
- 14 Any comments?
- Okay, so that concludes our portion of
- 16 the comments specifically focused on our
- 17 questions.
- 18 Now we would like to open it up to
- 19 general comments. We have a few comment cards
- 20 that we've received.
- 21 Chris, you've got some comment cards for
- 22 us. If you could go ahead and bring it up, that
- 23 would be great.
- We'll just move on into our next session.
- 25 Okay, anyone else with comment cards on general

- 1 topics? Anyone else? (Pause)
- MS. SETTY: Okay, we have seven comment
- 3 cards. I'll go ahead and call up our first
- 4 speaker, and that would be Jessica Bowman from
- 5 the FluoroCouncil.
- 6 Go ahead and come up to the mic, and you
- 7 have no more than five minutes.
- 8 MS. BOWMAN: Thank you. Thank you. I'm
- 9 Jessica Bowman with the FluoroCouncil.
- 10 First, I just want to say that we
- 11 appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on
- 12 the Profile document, both at this workshop and
- 13 through written comments.
- I also want to say that we appreciate the
- 15 opportunity that we've had over the last year-
- 16 and-a-half to have a dialogue with the Department
- 17 as you've worked to better under PFASs and their
- 18 use in carpets and rugs.
- 19 However, I must say that we were deeply
- 20 disappointed in the document, especially to find
- 21 out that much of the information that we provided
- 22 to the Department regarding the primary PFASs
- 23 that are actually used in carpeting today and
- 24 have been in use for more than a decade, those
- 25 are short-chain/ side-chain fluorinated polymers,

- 1 has not been included in the Profile document.
- 2 We think the document is fundamentally
- 3 flawed from both a factual and a scientific
- 4 basis, and that the concerns raised in the
- 5 document regarding potential adverse impacts and
- 6 exposure are based almost wholly on PFOA and
- 7 PFOS. PFOA and PFOS are not used in carpeting
- 8 today. The PFASs that are used in carpeting
- 9 today are not a relevant source of these
- 10 substances. And there's a robust body of data,
- 11 much of which we've provided to the Department,
- 12 that was not included in the Profile document
- 13 that shows the concerns associated with PFOS and
- 14 PFOA are not characteristic of the entire class
- 15 of PFASs or the specific PFASs that are used in
- 16 carpeting today.
- 17 In the Profile document the Department
- 18 has documented its concerns with PFOA and PFOS
- 19 from both a hazard and an exposure standpoint.
- 20 And as I conveyed at the January 2017 workshop on
- 21 this matter, these substances continue to be
- 22 manufactured outside the U.S. by companies that
- 23 didn't participate in the EPA PFOA Stewardship
- 24 Program. And therefore, articles containing
- 25 these substances can be and continue to be

- 1 imported legally to the U.S.
- 2 So if the Department does, in fact, have
- 3 concerns about these substances, about PFOA and
- 4 PFOS, then we would encourage you to take a
- 5 closer look at other applications where they
- 6 continue to be used today, rather than focusing
- 7 on an industry on an application that over ten
- $8\,$ years ago switched away from long chains.
- 9 We will be submitting detailed written
- 10 comments by the April 16th deadline. But in the
- 11 meantime, we want to offer several high-level
- 12 points for the Department to consider. I'm going
- 13 to introduce those points, and a couple of my
- 14 colleagues will discuss them in more detail.
- 15 First, DTSC cannot and has not
- 16 demonstrated widespread adverse impacts for all
- 17 PFAS chemicals.
- 18 Second, DTSC should acknowledge that only
- 19 a limited subset of PFASs are actually used in
- 20 carpeting today. Those are primarily short-
- 21 chain/side-chain fluorinated polymers.
- 22 And finally, there's a robust body of
- 23 degradation, toxicity and exposure data on those
- 24 short-chain/side-chain fluorinated polymers that
- 25 demonstrates a lack of widespread adverse impacts

- 1 from those chemistries that are actually used to
- 2 treat carpeting today.
- 3 So with that, I think we have it in
- 4 order, so my colleagues will speak right after
- 5 me. Thank you.
- 6 MS. SETTY: Thanks for your comments.
- 7 Next we have Steve Korzeniowski from the
- 8 FluoroCouncil.
- 9 MS. BOWMAN: Actually, it should be
- 10 Warren Lehrenbauer next.
- 11 MS. SETTY: Okay. We'll take Warren then
- 12 next.
- 13 MR. LEHRENBAUER: Thanks, Steve. You'll
- 14 get Steve later. So thank you all. As Jessica
- 15 mentioned, I'm going to pick up on two points
- 16 that she raised.
- 17 The first is that DTSC, we think, cannot
- 18 make the determination of widespread adverse
- 19 impacts from the entire class of PFAS chemicals.
- 20 As we saw in the earlier presentation, the term
- 21 PFAS describes a very broad category of chemistry
- 22 that encompasses hundreds of products that are
- 23 currently in commerce, as well as hundreds of
- 24 other substances that are no longer in commerce
- 25 or present as waste products or impurities or

- 1 only in a laboratory.
- 2 The universe of chemistries can be
- 3 divided into several different categories,
- 4 including fluoropolymers, side-chain fluorinated
- 5 polymers, fluorosurfactants and
- 6 perfluoropolyethers. These chemistries have
- 7 widely differing physical chemical properties and
- 8 very different toxicological profiles, and also
- 9 very diverse performance characteristics. And
- 10 because of this very broad diversity, it would be
- 11 inappropriate, scientifically incorrect, and
- 12 ultimately an arbitrary decision to address,
- 13 quote, all PFAS chemicals as if they were a
- 14 single class of closely related chemicals because
- 15 the data show that's not correct.
- 16 While some subclasses of PFAS chemicals
- 17 might be associated with potentially adverse
- 18 impacts, other subclasses of PFAS chemistries are
- 19 clearly not associated with the adverse impacts.
- 20 One example is fluoropolymers. These are very
- 21 large molecules that are chemically and
- 22 biologically inert and are not bioavailable. The
- 23 overwhelming weight of scientific evidence
- 24 supports the conclusion that fluoropolymers do
- 25 not present any significant risks to human health

- 1 or the environment. These data have been
- 2 collected in a peer-reviewed scientific paper
- 3 that is currently in press and available on line
- 4 in pre-publication format in Integrated
- 5 Environmental Assessment and Management.
- 6 Similarly, a large and growing body of
- 7 scientific data also supports the conclusion that
- 8 short-chain/side-chain fluorinated polymers
- 9 currently on the market in the U.S. do not
- 10 present any significant risks to human health or
- 11 the environment. Before these products were
- 12 allowed onto the market, EPA undertook an in-
- 13 depth review of the data supporting the safety of
- 14 these products. And, in addition, EPA continues
- 15 to retain regulatory oversight of these products
- 16 through its use of TSCA Section 5 e-orders.
- 17 As OECD and other scientific bodies have
- 18 noted, when multiple chemicals have differing
- 19 toxicity characteristics, they cannot be grouped
- 20 together for risk assessment purposes. This is
- 21 true of the large and diverse universe of PFAS
- 22 chemistries. The overwhelming weight of
- 23 scientific evidence demonstrates that different
- 24 categories of chemistries within the broad PFAS
- 25 universe have widely differing toxicological

- 1 profiles. Therefore, it is inappropriate to
- 2 regulate all so-called PFAS chemicals as a single
- 3 class.
- 4 More to the point, with specific
- 5 reference to the Safer Consumer Products
- 6 Regulation, DTSC cannot, in good faith, determine
- 7 that the entire universe of PFAS chemistries
- 8 presents adverse impacts it the state of
- 9 California. The scientific data simply do not
- 10 support such a determination.
- 11 The second issue I'd like to address is
- 12 that, as Jessica mentioned, side-chain
- 13 fluorinated polymers, specifically those that are
- 14 short-chain, are the specific category that is
- 15 overwhelmingly used in carpeting. And the only
- 16 other alternative that we're aware of is the
- 17 perflouropolyether.
- 18 The sole focus of the chemical assessment
- 19 profile should be on these materials, their
- 20 impurities and their degradants. These materials
- 21 are considered low risk to humans and the
- 22 environment. And it is incorrect and extremely
- 23 misleading to associate these actual carpet
- 24 treatment materials with PFAS chemicals that show
- 25 evidence of toxic effects. These materials that

- 1 are actually in use have not been associated with
- 2 toxic endpoints for carcinogenicity,
- 3 developmental toxicity, mutagenicity or
- 4 reproductive toxicity.
- 5 FluoroCouncil members represent the
- 6 majority of fluorinated treatments sold into
- 7 carpets in the U.S. have considerable expertise
- 8 in this application. The vast majority of PFAS
- 9 materials used in carpet are side-chain,
- 10 fluorinated polymers with short-chains, as I
- 11 mentioned. The only other PFAS material, again,
- 12 as I mentioned are the perfluoropolyethers.
- 13 Long-chain fluorinated polymers -- fluorinated
- 14 products, like C8 and PFOA and related products
- 15 that were discussed extensively in the Profile
- 16 document, are not used and no longer even
- 17 produced in the United States.
- 18 Fluoropolymers, despite what is indicated
- 19 in the Profile document, are not used in carpet
- 20 applications. Polymerization aid like GenX and
- 21 Adona, which were discussed extensively in the
- 22 Profile document, are simply not suitable for
- 23 this use and have never been used in carpeting.
- 24 Short-chain fluorosurfactants, likewise, are not
- 25 used in carpet treatment. They are not suitable

- 1 of this end use.
- There's no credible evidence of the use
- 3 or presence of PFAS chemicals, other than short-
- 4 chain-based polymers and perfluoropolyethers.
- 5 Any observations of the presence of other
- 6 materials would be as unintended contaminants of
- 7 the test methods or the materials. Only the
- 8 short-chain-based polymers are used, and only
- 9 these materials and their impurities and
- 10 degradants should be considered relevant in the
- 11 focus of the DTSC Profile document.
- 12 Fluorinated side-chain polymers and
- 13 degradation products are considered low risk to
- 14 humans and the environment. These polymers are
- 15 not bioavailable and are considered low risk.
- 16 And it is incorrect and extremely misleading to
- 17 state that the degradation products of these
- 18 short-chain/side-chain polymers show evidence for
- 19 carcinogenicity, developmental toxicity,
- 20 mutagenicity or reproductive toxicity and so
- 21 forth. The toxic endpoints for long-chains, such
- 22 as PFOA and PFOS, listed in the Profile document
- 23 have not been associated with the polymers,
- 24 monomers or degradation products of the PFAS
- 25 chemicals actually used in carpeting today.

- 1 Thank you.
- MS. SETTY: Thanks for your comments.
- 3 Okay, we'll move on to Steve Korzeniowski
- 4 with the FluoroCouncil.
- 5 MR. KORZENIOWSKI: Thank you very much.
- 6 I want to spend just a few moments more on the
- 7 technical side. I represent the FluoroCouncil,
- $8\,$ but I represent the Science Workgroup of the
- 9 FluoroCouncil, and part of the work that we do
- 10 every day as to try to understand what work has
- 11 been done, what it means and so on, not unlike
- 12 what you've done, Simona.
- I want to talk about four things. I want
- 14 to first talk about degradation, degradation of
- 15 the C6 products and the other flourotelomer-based
- 16 products.
- 17 There's the concept out there of
- 18 irreversible exposure and forever chemicals, and
- 19 I think we're all familiar with that. However,
- 20 recently completed studies on the C6 short-chain
- 21 fluorotelomer-based polymers, the acrylates and
- 22 methacrylates, conducted under USEPA directed and
- 23 approved protocols indicate that the current
- 24 half-life, T1/2s, are on the order of millennia.
- 25 As we saw in the document, there's rather an

- 1 overt bias toward the John Washington, et. al.
- 2 Study that looks at maybe decades. But we
- 3 present additional evidence that it's likely
- 4 different than that.
- 5 Degradation pathways for fluorotelomer
- 6 intermediates and precursors, such as the 6:2
- 7 fluorotelomer alcohol, are well known and well
- 8 studied, and the respective studies published in
- 9 peer reviewed literature. Regardless of the
- 10 exact short-chain fluorotelomer-based products
- 11 that were used in carpets and rugs, potential
- 12 degradation products or impurities ultimately
- 13 result in dead-end and stable short-chain acids,
- 14 like the C6 and the C4.
- The hazard profile of fluorinated
- 16 polymers used in carpeting is assessed based on
- 17 the degradation products. At the direction of
- 18 regulatory agencies, the most well-studies of
- 19 those degradation products is perfluorohexanoic
- 20 acid, although date is available on other
- 21 degradation products.
- 22 Speaking of the C6 acid, some of the
- 23 safety data on the C6 acid is published, peer
- 24 reviewed and been out, the literature, for many
- 25 years. It is well studied with a large body of

- 1 data published in the open literature. This data
- 2 has demonstrated that the C6 acid is not
- 3 carcinogenic, has not exhibited DNA mutation of
- 4 genotox effects in several studies, is not an
- 5 endocrine disruptor, does not exhibit adverse
- 6 impacts on reproduction, development at doses --
- 7 even at higher doses in other studies. And
- 8 studies where effects have been observed, and
- 9 again, those that do toxicology do understand
- 10 that studies are often done to show effects, the
- 11 only effects that were shown were at the high
- 12 doses.
- We'll talk about C6 exposure, the C6 acid
- 14 exposure because, again, that's a central part of
- 15 this document about the potential exposure to
- 16 humans and animals.
- 17 Data gaps regarding the levels of the C6
- 18 acid in the environment and human serum, they do
- 19 exist. They do exist because the C6 acid has
- 20 generally been excluded from environmental
- 21 monitoring surveys and blood serum analyses due
- 22 to low frequency of detection and low levels of
- 23 detection compared to other associated method
- 24 detection limits. This is the stated reason why
- 25 the C6 acid was not included in EPA's Unregulated

- 1 Contaminant Monitoring Rule and the CDC's
- 2 studies, the NHANES. The available data
- 3 consistently shows extremely low frequencies of
- 4 detection and low levels of detection for the C6
- 5 acid in both environmental media and in human
- 6 populations. Biomonitoring studies consistently
- 7 demonstrate that the C6 acid is infrequently
- 8 detected in human serum, particularly compared
- 9 with most other perfluoralkyl acids.
- 10 And one point of note, as our study was
- 11 published in 2017, in all exposure analyses, one
- 12 should also consider the reference dose for the
- 13 C6 acid of 0.32 milligrams per kilogram per day
- 14 for the C6 acid derived by ANSES, the French
- 15 agency, an August body of toxicologists and other
- 16 folks in 2017. Now that reference dose is four
- 17 orders of magnitude higher, safer than PFOA, for
- 18 example.
- 19 I want to finish with one last item. And
- 20 again, I think that one of the biggest issues
- 21 that we're facing today, of course, is these
- 22 chemicals get into the environment, and the
- 23 question is: When they do, can you get them out?
- 24 And I think that the general thinking is
- 25 that once short-chains get in the environment,

- 1 you can't get them out. You can't use carbon.
- 2 You can't use this. You can't use that. The fact
- 3 of the matter is short-chain alkyl acids, such as
- 4 the C6 acid, can be removed from source water to
- 5 meet drinking water standards. Water treatment
- 6 technologies in commercial use utilize a variety
- 7 of removal technologies, and some would call it a
- 8 treatment train.
- 9 Commercial systems were most recently
- 10 described by Arcadis, Wood, ECT 2, Tersus, EA
- 11 Engineering, and others at the Emerging
- 12 Contaminants Summit held March 6th and 7th in
- 13 Westminster, Colorado, a couple of weeks ago,
- 14 which I and many of my colleagues attended.
- 15 Technologies deployed include granulated carbon,
- 16 superfine carbon, ion exchange, ozone
- 17 fractionation, reverse osmosis, and polymeric
- 18 absorbents.
- 19 And with that, thank you very much. I'll
- 20 turn that over to Joe Yarbrough; right?
- MS. SETTY: Thanks for the comments.
- MR. KORZENIOWSKI: Thank you.
- 23 MS. SETTY: All right, Joe, you're next
- 24 with The Carpet and Rug Institute.
- MR. YARBROUGH: Good afternoon. My name

- 1 is Joe Yarbrough. I'm the President of The
- 2 Carpet and Rug Institute. It's my privilege to be
- 3 here with you today.
- 4 I'd like to state that The Carpet and Rug
- 5 Institute is the trade association for the
- 6 manufacturers of carpet. And we represent more
- 7 than 90 percent of the carpet that's produced in
- 8 the United States.
- 9 I just want to begin by saying that
- 10 carpet manufacturers have long led the way in
- 11 creating products that are safe, sustainable and
- 12 beautiful for homes, schools and commercial
- 13 spaces.
- 14 Many years ago, our CRI members
- 15 voluntarily stopped the use of what are known as
- 16 long-chain perfluorinated compounds, that is C8
- 17 chains and higher. These were substituted to
- 18 further ensure safe and environmentally-sound
- 19 methods of protecting carpets from soiling and
- 20 liquid stains were employed. Now while some of
- 21 the products today are not treated with side-
- 22 chain-based fluoro chemistries, certain
- 23 applications of those products are suitable for
- 24 the end-use expectation of the customers for
- 25 that. But as we stated numerous times in our

- 1 presentation in January of 2017, there are many
- 2 product applications that require that the only
- 3 compounds that we are aware of that will
- 4 satisfactorily provide the performance
- 5 characteristics are the now-utilized short-chain
- 6 perfluorinated chemical compounds.
- 7 It's been clearly stated by my preceding
- 8 speakers that side-chain polymer-fluoro chemistry
- 9 employed in carpeting is unlike many of the more
- 10 than 3,000 chemicals that you've identified in
- 11 your own report. And looking at them as a
- 12 general class, we think is flawed pursuit of that
- 13 objective.
- 14 Secondly, the carpet industry was
- 15 completely transitioned from long, so-called
- 16 long-chain fluoro chemistries by 2007. That
- 17 transition process began as early as 2003. Now
- 18 this is relevant because one of the obligations
- 19 that the carpet industry has through a
- 20 stewardship program under CARE is to achieve a 24
- 21 percent recycling rate by January of 2020. We
- 22 are concerned that there can be unintended
- 23 consequences of our ability to achieve a statute
- 24 if these products are identified in a way that is
- 25 unduly causing concern about the ability to

- 1 recycle these materials.
- Now it's important to understand the
- 3 lifecycle of carpets; 7 to 12 years is typical
- 4 for carpet installations. Now some shorter, some
- 5 longer, but generally 7 to 12 years is an
- 6 accepted lifecycle for installed carpet.
- 7 As I said, we completely transitioned to
- 8 short-chain by 2007. So that means that, by and
- 9 large, all the carpeting that's being recycled
- 10 today, those materials that are being pulled up,
- 11 if they were treated with fluoro chemistry, they
- 12 would be treated with fluoro chemistry that is of
- 13 the short-chain variety. And I implore you to
- 14 consider that fact as you think about calling a
- 15 carpet product a Priority Product for these
- 16 reasons.
- 17 The importance of recycling is very
- 18 significant to our carpet industry. As I said,
- 19 we've long led the way in environmental
- 20 leadership. And we want to make sure that we're
- 21 doing everything we can to achieve the
- 22 sustainable practices of dealing with post-
- 23 consumer carpet in an effective and important
- 24 way. And it is our objective to accomplish that.
- 25 Finally, I'd just like to echo one other

- 1 thing that Jessica Bowman mentioned, and that is
- 2 that imported product, and in the state of
- 3 California there is significant imported product,
- 4 I don't have the statistics to validate what the
- 5 quantities are, but I believe that there are
- 6 substantial amounts of broadloom carpeting and
- 7 carpet tiles being imported into the state of
- 8 California. And we voluntarily are making sure
- 9 we're doing everything we can to provide products
- 10 that are safe and environmentally sound. I
- 11 cannot speak for those others who are in
- 12 unregulated environments where they may not have
- 13 the same objectives and/or focus that our
- 14 industry has held for decades.
- So I would implore you to concentrate, as
- 16 we asked in January of 2017, to be more
- 17 specifically focused on not domestically produced
- 18 product, but that that's being imported from
- 19 offshore.
- 20 That concludes my remarks. Thank you.
- 21 MS. SETTY: Thanks for your comments.
- Next we have Miriam Rotkin-Ellman from
- 23 the Natural Resources Defense Council.
- MS. ROTKIN-ELLMAN: Good afternoon.
- 25 Thanks so much for the opportunity to comment.

- 1 As stated, my name is Miriam Rotkin-Ellman and
- 2 I'm a scientist with the Natural Resources
- 3 Defense Council. And as an environmental
- 4 advocacy organization, we have no financial
- 5 interest in the subject of these comments that
- 6 we've submitted or the comments that I'm going to
- 7 be providing today.
- 8 I want to thank the staff of the Safer
- 9 Consumer Products Program for a very impressive
- 10 and comprehensive look at and review of a large
- 11 class of chemicals with a global footprint. The
- 12 opportunity for California to be a leader in
- 13 providing public health protections is front and
- 14 center in my mind today. And my comments are
- 15 aimed at moving California into a place of
- 16 leadership towards addressing what is a global
- 17 contamination problem from this class of
- 18 chemicals.
- 19 I'm going to apologize. I also am
- 20 getting over a respiratory problem, and I'll do
- 21 my best.
- 22 I'm going to cut -- my main points today,
- 23 the Priority Profile provides ample evidence that
- 24 the PFAS chemicals in carpets and rugs meet
- 25 criteria for the Safer Consumer Products Program

- 1 listing as a Priority Product. It is critical
- 2 that product listings cover the entire class, not
- 3 only to make sure that we are addressing all
- 4 contaminants that we see today and in the future,
- 5 and that we are not ending up in a cycle of
- 6 regrettable substitutions. The opportunity to
- 7 head that off is now. We've already seen that
- 8 extensively with this class of chemicals. We
- 9 should not be aimed at any regulatory actions
- 10 that further that practice.
- 11 And lastly, while I appreciate the
- 12 thorough discussion of data gaps, it is important
- 13 to distinguish those types of data which
- 14 contribute to risk analyses not required to meet
- 15 the listing criteria. These gaps should not
- 16 impede moving forward with developing regulatory
- 17 language. And any further refinement of this
- 18 Profile should make this distinction clear.
- 19 So to go into those points with a little
- 20 bit more detail, as noted, there's two main
- 21 criteria for listing. From the priority
- 22 product -- the Profile here gives extensive
- 23 documentation of widespread exposure and
- 24 doesn't -- sorry, do you need that? Sorry.
- 25 Product -- for specific studies which link the

- 1 contaminants to different exposure routes and
- 2 contamination in the environment at large. It's
- 3 important to note that these connections are very
- 4 clear for both the use of the product for
- 5 workers, and also for lifecycle and disposal.
- 6 Each of those on their own meet the criteria and
- 7 the Safer Consumer Product listing requirements,
- 8 and then collectively provide significant and
- 9 ample support for the listing.
- 10 There's, you know, extensive
- 11 documentation of the toxicity information that we
- 12 know highlighted in this Profile. But I want to
- 13 highlight that increasingly, scientific experts
- 14 are flagging toxicity concern, not only with PFOA
- 15 and PFOS chemicals. And the scientific community
- 16 is raising the flag that we should not be waiting
- 17 for those effects that we have seen in some of
- 18 these other compounds to show up in epidemiologic
- 19 studies. In order to see them in epidemiologic
- 20 studies, you have to have widespread
- 21 contamination. That is not a public health-
- 22 protective pathway forward. And the scientific
- 23 community is joining together to argue that the
- 24 indication that these chemicals may operate in
- 25 similar fashion is sufficient for addressing them

- 1 regulatorally.
- 2 And the last, to get a little more
- 3 specific on the Profile ID'd data gap
- 4 specifically. The language was: full
- 5 characterization of the duration, frequency
- 6 level, population exposures has not been well
- 7 characterized. That information is needed for
- 8 risk analyses, is needed for setting standards,
- 9 but is not relevant for the criteria associated
- 10 with setting -- and should be indicated as such.
- I want to close, just by returning to the
- 12 question of regrettable substitutions. We --
- 13 again, this action by California has the
- 14 opportunity to lead to address a global
- 15 contamination issue. And we should be taking all
- 16 steps towards addressing the problem holistically
- 17 and setting us up to drive towards California as
- 18 a leadership in developing alternatives and not
- 19 on contributing to regrettable substitutions.
- Thank you.
- 21 MS. SETTY: Thank you for your comments.
- 22 Next we have Alvaro Palacios Casanova
- 23 with Center for Environmental Health.
- MR. CASANOVA: So hello everybody. My
- 25 name is Alvaro Palacios Casanova. I am the

- 1 California Policy Manager at the Center for
- 2 Environmental Health. CEH is a national
- 3 nonprofit organization dedicated to protecting
- 4 people from harmful chemicals in consumer
- 5 products, the environment and our food. And we
- 6 thank the Department of Toxic Substances Control
- 7 for conducting a Chemical-Product Profile on per-
- $8\,$ and polyfluoroalkyl substances in carpets and
- 9 rugs.
- 10 CEH is here to express support of the
- 11 listing of PFASs in carpets and rugs as a
- 12 Priority Product because we believe the product-
- 13 chemical combination meets the criteria for
- 14 potential widespread exposure and adverse impacts
- 15 to public health and the environment. The
- 16 scientific evidence cited in the Product Profile
- 17 shows a widespread PFAS contamination in soils,
- 18 plants, and in particular water, with an
- 19 estimated 6 million Americans being affected by
- 20 water contamination that exceeds EPA's advisory
- 21 levels for PFAS in drinking water. PFAS
- 22 contamination is so widespread that one study,
- 23 which is in the Product Profile, stated that
- 24 there's no unexposed control population.
- 25 The Product Profile also provides

- 1 evidence that PFASs are persistent chemicals that
- 2 accumulate in the environmental media and
- 3 organisms. Studies show that PFASs can harm fish
- 4 and other marine organisms as they bioaccumulate
- 5 and concentrate throughout the food chain, with
- 6 certain PFAS chemicals causing malformations in
- 7 fish.
- 8 In addition, the PFAS chemicals being
- 9 widespread and impacting aquatic ecosystems,
- 10 there's evidence that the indoor built
- 11 environment with rugs and carpets have elevated
- 12 levels of PFASs in air and dust, exposing
- 13 vulnerable populations like children, and
- 14 subpopulations such as office workers.
- 15 Lastly, CEH would like to thank you for
- 16 considering PFAS as a class of chemicals in this
- 17 Product Profile. PFAS has a similar chemical
- 18 structure to their predecessors, PFOS and PFOA.
- 19 And the current data that exists indicates that
- 20 PFASs have similar properties to those chemicals.
- 21 Therefore, we support PFAS being considered as a
- 22 class of chemicals in this Priority Product
- 23 listing.
- 24 Thank you.
- MS. SETTY: Thank you for your comments.

- 1 Next we have Liza Grandia from UC
- 2 Davis/Woodland Coalition for Green Schools.
- 3 DR. GRANDIA: Good afternoon. My name is
- 4 Liza Grandia. I am a Professor at UC Davis in
- 5 Native American studies, Mellon New Direction
- 6 fellow working to connect communities with
- 7 environmental health scientists. I am founder
- 8 and Coordinator of the Woodland Coalition for
- 9 Green Schools, but I'm here really more in my
- 10 civic hat. It's funny, I'm actually a Georgia
- 11 girl, but I'm not here to speak on behalf of the
- 12 carpet industry. Rather, I want to applaud you
- 13 for the courageous action that I hope you will
- 14 take to begin to regulate this industry.
- 15 I'm really here to speak to the canaries
- 16 as a mother, as a cancer survivor. And I want to
- 17 thank you for noting, Dr. Balan, the
- 18 disproportionate impact on native populations.
- 19 And I also want to reemphasize that carpet is the
- 20 number one source of exposure, according to
- 21 Arlene Blum at UC Berkeley, of this class of
- 22 chemicals in children. Why? Right, we know,
- 23 they're rug rats. They spend most of their time
- 24 near and close to the floor. They jump around
- 25 and produce a lot of dust. The hand-to-mouth

- 1 gestures increase the concentration of exposure
- 2 in children.
- 3 And I just want -- and in addition to
- 4 those behavioral characteristics that we always
- 5 need to think about in sensitive populations is
- 6 to emphasize that there are also institutional
- 7 issues, whether in hospitals or in schools. The
- $8\,$ squirt; many of you, who have children, know from
- 9 common core testing, right, they don't even have
- 10 time to be allowed to wash their hands. So every
- 11 day, children, whatever they've gotten on their
- 12 hands, they put into their mouths at lunch
- 13 because they're not given an opportunity to wash
- 14 their hands.
- 15 And yet, you can put these chemicals in
- 16 carpet and call it Green Label. How can a carpet
- 17 with forever chemicals that will stick around in
- 18 children's bodies ever be labeled as green?
- 19 My first experience with Green Label
- 20 carpet was ten years ago. Actually, I was
- 21 thinking about that when I was driving here
- 22 today. I started chemotherapy today, ten years
- 23 ago, after having an aggressive lymphoma induced
- 24 in a sick building that sickened nearly a third
- 25 of the faculty at my first university and whose

- 1 indoor air quality problems could be traced back
- 2 to carpet. That experience induced me with
- 3 multiple chemical sensitivity. What was it in
- 4 carpet that did that to me? I don't know.
- I know that I can -- I'm having a hard
- 6 time breathing in this room. My heart is racing.
- 7 I feel very ill in this room, and in all places
- 8 with carpet after that experience. What was in
- 9 it? I don't know. We had this very interesting
- 10 report by the Healthy Building Network about,
- 11 potentially, 44 hazardous substances in carpet.
- 12 I guess I shouldn't have to prove what's making
- 13 me sick. You should have to prove that it's
- 14 safe.
- I applaud you, California EPA, for taking
- 16 this action 25 years after the USEPA capitulated
- 17 to the carpet industry in the Green Label
- 18 Program. As I was trying to find a reason for my
- 19 illness, what's in carpet? Like something that
- 20 we're surrounded by cradle to grave, you don't
- 21 think it could be dangerous. It's soft. We put
- 22 our children on it to play. How could it be
- 23 dangerous? We've become so used to it that we
- 24 don't think about its potential hazard.
- Well, interestingly, the EPA in 1987 to

- 1 1988 as it installed new carpet at its D.C.
- 2 Waterside Headquarters, as the rugs rolled out,
- 3 roughly 600 staff and scientists, about a fifth
- 4 of the workforce, fell ill. And of those, about
- 5 60 people became so hypersensitized, they could
- 6 not return to work in the building.
- 7 Investigations showed the common denominator in
- 8 that case might have been the chemical called 4-
- 9 pc, 4-phenylcyclohaxene that is known, they
- 10 thought, at parts as low as ten parts per billion
- 11 to induce hypersensitivity. In that case the EPA
- 12 scientists, after two years of struggle and
- 13 multiple tomes of research, recommended that the
- 14 Agency set a regulatory level for 4-pc at less
- 15 than ten parts per billion.
- 16 The carpet industry countered with a
- 17 voluntary proposal to self-police at 300 parts
- 18 per million. You don't have to be a
- 19 mathematician to note the difference.
- 20 That's how the Green Label was born in
- 21 1992. And after that, regulators never tried to
- 22 confront the carpet industry again. So I thank
- 23 you for your courage. I am here as a citizen. I
- 24 knew that there would be the carpet industry
- 25 here. And I knew that you also needed to hear

- 1 about people who are affected by carpet.
- 2 Down the road in Woodland in our school
- 3 district, we have dozens of children who have
- 4 been sickened by carpet installation in
- 5 classrooms this year. We don't know what's
- 6 causing it, but we do know that the children are
- 7 sick, coming home with red eyes and headaches
- 8 every day.
- 9 It's been 25 years since the EPA had its
- 10 own carpet crisis. And I think it's wonderful
- 11 that we're finding out, at least about one of the
- 12 many chemicals in carpets. And I encourage you
- 13 to move forward with this, and then continue to
- 14 look at what else might have been swept under the
- 15 rug.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MS. SETTY: Thanks for your comments.
- 18 The last comment card I have from our in-
- 19 person attendees is from Tom Bruton for the Green
- 20 Science Policy Institute.
- 21 MR. BRUTON: Good afternoon. My name is
- 22 Tom Bruton and I'm a Scientist at the Green
- 23 Science Policy Institute. Our institutes mission
- 24 is to facilitate responsible use of chemicals to
- 25 protect human and environmental health. And I'm

- 1 here today to express our strong support for the
- 2 proposal to list carpet and rugs with PFAS as
- 3 Priority Products.
- 4 I've read through the DTSC's draft
- 5 Priority Product Profile and I found it to be a
- 6 well-researched synthesis of the science on this
- 7 class of chemicals. And I want to commend the
- 8 Department for doing such a thorough job on this.
- 9 The draft Profile shows clearly that PFAS
- 10 in carpets and rugs meet the two key criteria of
- 11 the Safer Consumer Products Regulations; one,
- 12 that they result in the potential for public or
- 13 environmental exposure; and two, that those
- 14 exposures have the potential to contribute to or
- 15 cause significant or widespread adverse impact.
- 16 Furthermore, I believe that there are
- 17 compelling scientific and practical reasons for
- 18 treating the entire group of per- and
- 19 polyfluoroalkyl substances as a class. PFOA,
- 20 PFOS and other long-chain perfluoralkyl acids are
- 21 the most-well-studied PFASs from an environmental
- 22 and toxicological standpoint. And as a result of
- 23 what's known about their adverse effects, they've
- 24 been phased out by many manufacturers.
- Now, some stakeholders have made the

- 1 point that PFAS are a diverse class of chemicals
- 2 and that many of the PFASs in use today differ
- 3 from PFOA and PFOS in important ways. One common
- 4 argument is that short-chain PFASs are not
- 5 biopersistent and that, therefore, they're
- 6 environmentally preferable. Human biomonitoring
- 7 studies typically measure PFAS in blood plasma.
- 8 And while it's true that the short-chain
- 9 perfluoralkyl acids do not accumulate in plasma
- 10 to the extent that the long chains do, this alone
- 11 is not sufficient evidence to conclude that there
- 12 is no cause for concern. The short-chain
- 13 compounds have been less-well studies. And some
- 14 recent research does raise red flags.
- 15 For instance, studies in both live mice
- 16 and human autopsy tissue have detected short-
- 17 chain PFAS in several organs other than blood,
- 18 including at concentrations higher than the long
- 19 chains.
- 20 Another study published just last fall
- 21 showed that the short-chain PFHxA was not
- 22 detected when scientists looked for it in blood
- 23 serum, but it was found in 100 percent of whole
- 24 blood samples.
- 25 And all of this suggests that there's

- 1 reasons for concern about the short-chain PFAS.
- 2 And this is important because they're the
- 3 ultimate degradation products of many of the
- 4 chemistries currently used to treat carpets.
- 5 Another argument is that fluoropolymers,
- 6 like PTFE and PVDF, are a distinct subgroup of
- 7 chemicals that ought not to be lumped in with the
- 8 other PFASs. While it's true that fluoropolymers
- 9 have a high molecular weight and are not likely
- 10 to be bioavailable themselves, their manufacture
- 11 requires the use of problematic fluorinated
- 12 monomers, such as PFOA and GenX. Because the
- 13 Safer Consumer Products Regulations allow for
- 14 consideration of lifecycle impacts, it's logical
- 15 to include fluoropolymers in the PFAS class.
- 16 Finally, the fact that there are
- 17 thousands of different PFAS in use means that
- 18 it's impractical to evaluate the safety of these
- 19 chemicals one at a time. A large number of
- 20 academic, government and NGO scientists from
- 21 around the world feel that the evidence against
- 22 this class of chemicals is strong enough to merit
- 23 limiting their production and use. The Green
- 24 Science Policy Institute applauds the work of
- 25 DTSC to protect the health of Californians, and

- 1 including the proposed listing of PFASs in
- 2 carpets and rugs.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 MS. SETTY: Thanks for your comments.
- 5 We have a few comments that came in
- 6 through webcast, so we'll go through those next.
- 7 Our first comment from Stacy Tatman,
- 8 "In the technical document and in today's
- 9 presentation, autos are said to be excluded.
- 10 Does that include after-market or replacement
- 11 parts for autos, such as floor mats?"
- Does anyone from the panel want to
- 13 respond?
- MR. PALMER: Can you repeat that comment?
- MS. SETTY: "In the technical document
- 16 and in today's presentation, autos are said
- 17 to be excluded. Does that also include
- 18 after-market or replacement parts for autos,
- 19 such as floor mats?"
- MR. PALMER: Yes.
- 21 MS. SETTY: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. PALMER: Yes, was the answer.
- MS. SETTY: Okay. Our next comment from
- 24 webcast from Miriam Gordon, "Did you investigate
- 25 potential releases of PFASs from waste to

- 1 energy?"
- 2 DR. BALAN: No, we didn't look into
- 3 details about -- we did not research that topic
- 4 in detail, no.
- 5 MS. SETTY: Our next comment, also from
- 6 Miriam Gordon,
- 7 "Carpet pads question. Did you look into
- 8 carpet pads from waste textiles, some of its
- 9 carpet fibers, and whether these should be
- 10 regulated too? Are there members of the
- industry who can speak to whether waste
- 12 carpet fiber is being used in carpet pads,
- and which carpets?
- 14 "Since AB 1158 requires the carpet industry
- to reach a 24 percent recycling rate, how can
- the FluoroCouncil say that the discontinued
- 17 use of long-chain PFASs means that these
- 18 chemicals are not going to persist in carpet?
- 19 Old carpets being recycled into new ones
- 20 likely contain the PFOS and PFOA used more
- 21 than ten years ago. Given their persistence,
- 22 PFOA and PFOS are likely to be recycled into
- 23 carpet products and other products for years
- 24 to come and stain these products through
- 25 their lifecycle."

- 1 MR. PALMER: I think there's a question
- 2 in there about did we consider the pads? And I
- 3 think the answer is, no, we didn't specifically
- 4 look at pads. That's the response.
- 5 MS. SETTY: Okay. Our last question from
- 6 the webcast is from Hardy Sullivan.
- 7 "At last year's workshop the FluoroCouncil
- 8 reported 99 percent of the PFOA in the
- 9 environment came from sources other than
- 10 side-chain/long-chain fluorinated polymers.
- 11 And zero percent of PFOA and PFOS came from
- 12 side-chain/short-chained fluorinated polymer.
- 13 Why is DTSC focusing on stain-resistant
- treatments, rather than the primary sources?
- 15 "Consider that removal of this fiber
- 16 protection will shorten the life of carpet,
- 17 leading to premature replacement of carpet.
- This will increase water consumption,
- increase consumption of non-renewable fossil
- 20 fuels or pesticides to produce fibers,
- 21 increase landfill, increase greenhouse gas
- 22 emissions, and increase costs."
- 23 MR. PALMER: Let me just say that the
- 24 exercise of evaluating a potential Priority
- 25 Product is not the same as doing a complete

- 1 alternatives analysis. And so the criteria that
- 2 we're required to look at are very clear in terms
- 3 of some -- can we nominate and address and list
- 4 something as a Priority Product? At that point,
- 5 what's it's listed, then you go into the
- 6 alternatives analysis process which is when those
- 7 different types of potential impacts throughout
- $8\,$ the whole lifecycle of the product would be
- 9 addressed.
- 10 So it's important, then, when you look at
- 11 the Profile that you look at our regulations,
- 12 which specify the criteria that DTSC is held to
- 13 in terms of making that determination. And it's
- 14 not the same as those requirements in Article 5
- 15 which are for the alternatives analysis, so --
- MS. SETTY: Thank you.
- 17 We have one more comment from the
- 18 webcast, and this is from Heather Covert.
- 19 "Is the boundary for carpet and rugs interior
- 20 to the home or would this also include
- 21 interior to offices, hotels, hospitals, et
- 22 cetera? What about outdoor rugs and
- 23 carpets?"
- DR. BALAN: So it includes all carpets
- 25 that are inside buildings, so that could be

- 1 hospitals, yes, all those buildings mentioned,
- 2 but not outdoor carpets. And that has to do with
- 3 the product categories in our Work Plan. This
- 4 work is based on the 2015-2017 Work Plan, so we
- 5 have there the indoor built environment and home
- 6 and office furnishings as the relevant
- 7 categories.
- 8 MS. SETTY: Alright. Thank you.
- 9 A comment from our webcast viewer, Stacy
- 10 Tatman in a follow-up question.
- 11 "Although it is clearly stated that autos are
- 12 exempt, does this exemption include trucks,
- vans and other vehicles?"
- MR. ALGAZI: Yes.
- 15 DR. BALAN: Yes. It includes all
- 16 vehicles, again, because they're not covered in
- 17 any product category in our Work Plan. So
- 18 anything that's out of the scope of the Work Plan
- 19 is out of the scope of this proposal.
- 20 MS. SETTY: Any last comments from our
- 21 in-person attendees?
- 22 Any last comments from our webcast
- 23 viewers?
- Do we have any closing remarks?
- MR. PALMER: Yes. Thanks, Asha.

- 1 MS. SETTY: Great. Thanks.
- 2 MR. PALMER: So again, Meredith was going
- 3 to do this, but she got called to a higher
- 4 calling, so I'll fill in.
- 5 First and foremost, thank you for your
- 6 participation today. Thank you for everyone here
- 7 in the room that gave us comments and then
- 8 listened. Also, thanks to everyone online who's
- 9 paying attention and providing comment.
- I want to stress that, as Meredith said
- 11 earlier, this is still part of the -- the
- 12 dialogue is ongoing, so it's important to us.
- 13 I'll note that no one responded to the
- 14 questions that we laid out. That does not
- 15 prevent you from responding to those questions
- 16 formally by submitting them to CalSAFER prior to
- 17 April 16th, at the end of the day on April 16th.
- 18 And additionally, you can provide whatever
- 19 comments you care to by that time on our Profile.
- 20 The other thing I'd like to do is just
- 21 emphasize to folks that this is a regulatory
- 22 process, but we haven't regulated anything yet.
- 23 You need to look at our regulations, to look at
- 24 the criteria and the requirements in the
- 25 regulations, which dictate our decision making

- 1 here at DTSC in terms of this step of the
- 2 process, which is proposing and listing a
- 3 Priority Product. Further than that, once a
- 4 product is listed, then you need to look at the
- 5 requirements for the alternatives analysis.
- I think I'm just encouraging people to
- 7 look holistically at this because DTSC has not
- 8 made any determination of an outcome here, other
- 9 than we're moving forward on proposing to list
- 10 this product-chemical combination and put it
- 11 through the AA process. There are many, many
- 12 potential outcomes from that process.
- 13 So with that in mind and looking at the
- 14 criteria that we are required to meet, please,
- 15 when you look at the comments that you might
- 16 submit, do them in the context of the regulation
- 17 and the process overall.
- 18 Also, just to give you some sense of
- 19 where we go from here, once the comment period
- 20 closes, then our staff and our team will look at
- 21 all the comments submitted and evaluate those on
- 22 their merits. And we may, at that point, choose
- 23 to change something in our proposal. And it
- 24 depends on the comments we get, our evaluation,
- 25 and we'll go from there.

- 1 So once that happens the next logical
- 2 step is that then we go ahead and move forward in
- 3 the rulemaking process. And at that point, we'll
- 4 put out a technical doc, the final technical
- 5 document, and the other documents required in
- 6 rulemaking, including our statement of reasons,
- 7 our fiscal and economic impact statement, and
- 8 those things. And so that process in and of
- 9 itself is another formal process, so that you'll
- 10 have another chance to participate at that point
- 11 too.
- 12 So with that, thank you very much. Thank
- 13 you to Simona and the team of scientists and
- 14 engineers that worked very hard on this document,
- 15 and we really appreciate their hard work. Thank
- 16 you for the support staff here today. And thank
- 17 you everyone, and we'll look forward to reading
- 18 your comments.
- 19 And with that, we'll conclude this
- 20 workshop. Thanks.
- 21 (The public workshop concluded at 2:16 p.m.)
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and

place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of April, 2018.



PETER PETTY CER**D-493 Notary Public

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

April 11, 2018